

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/890,095	07/27/2001	Gaku Sugahara	740819-605	6059	
75	90 02/14/2003				
Nixon Peabody			EXAMINER		
Suite 800 8180 Greensboro Drive			ZAHN, JEFFREY N		
McLean, VA 2	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				
(سو ه	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence		09/890,095	SUGAHARA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAIL INO DATE of this area.	Jeffrey N Zahn	2828	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the c ver sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati	on.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ·		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			is is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul &	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	
	on Papers			
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		<u></u>	
10)[1	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on	-	• •	
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		cappioned by the Examinon	·
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	oplication No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	tion).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment	` '			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3.6</u>	5) Notice of Ir	fummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

Application/Control Number: 09/890,095

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 1-15, these claims recite a semiconductor laser or method for fabricating a semiconductor laser within the preamble of the claims; however, the body of these claims does not have the structural elements or steps to support a semiconductor laser. Accordingly, these claims are vague and indefinite.

Regarding Claim 16, the claim recites a semiconductor laser; however, the structure necessary to support the claimed laser is not claimed. Specifically, a resonant cavity and reflective film are claimed, but their relationship to the semiconductor laser device is unclear/vague.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,095

Art Unit: 2828

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caprara et al. (US 6285702).

Regarding Claims 1-3, Caprara et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising;

a resonant cavity made of a plurality of semiconductor layers (32);

a reflective film which contains niobium oxide (col. 23, line 64- col. 24, line 10) and is formed on an end facet of the resonant cavity. (ld.; see also Fig. 1);

the resonant cavity has a wavelength less than .7um (Id.)and the layer are made of Group III-V nitride semiconductors.

Regarding Claims 4-11, in addition to the discussion above regarding Claims 1-3, the reflective film is made of multiple layers that include SiO2, low refractive index layer, and Nb2O5, high refractive index layer. (col. 23, line 64-col. 24, line 10)

Regarding Claims 12-15, in addition to the discussion above regarding Claims 1-11, Caprara et al. discloses a method for fabricating a semiconductor laser device comprising the steps of:

forming a resonant cavity structure by sequentially depositing a plurality of semiconductor layers on a substrate (col. 24, lines 20-41);

Application/Control Number: 09/890,095

Art Unit: 2828

exposing an end facet of a resonant cavity on the semiconductor layers by cleaving or etching the substrate on which the semiconductor layers have been deposited (ld.); and

forming a reflective film containing niobium oxide on the exposed end facet of the resonant cavity (col. 23, line 65- col. 24, line 63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caprara et al. in view of Yamanaka (US 5872759).

Caprara et al. lacks a condensing optical system and a photodetector, as claimed. Yamanaka discloses an optical disk apparatus comprising:

a light-emitter including a semiconductor laser device (1);

a condensing optical system (4) that condenses laser light emitted from the lightemitter on a storage medium (5) on which data has been recorded (Fig. 2); and

a photodector (3) that detects part of the laser light that has been reflected from the storage medium (5).

Art Unit: 2828

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Capara et al. with the teachings of Yamanaka, as discussed above, to create an optical disk recording system (abstract)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey N Zahn whose telephone number is 703-305-3443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Jeffrey Zahh February 9, 2003